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1. RECOMMENDATIONS AND OBJECTIVES

The Journal of International Academy of Periodontology (JIAP) developed these guidelines and recommendations following the standards of the ICMJE (International Committee of Medical Journal Editors). It is based on the best practices and ethical standards in the conduct and reporting of research and other materials published in its journals, thus, helping and directing authors, editors, and other professionals involved in peer reviewing and publishing scientific editorial content, as well as creating and distributing accurate, clear and reproducible data, with a commitment to publishing unbiased articles. Recommendations can also provide useful information about the journal's editing and publishing process for the media, patients, family members, and general readers.

1.1. Who should use these recommendations?

These recommendations are primarily intended for authors who wish to submit their articles for publication in the JIAP. To ensure best publishing practices, JIAP in collaboration with its publisher, Dental Press Publishing follows the guidelines of the ICMJE, which in turn encourages its use. Authors, therefore, should consult the guidelines for each specific type of article at the following link: http://equator-network.org

The ICMJE encourages the wide dissemination of these recommendations and the reproduction of the entire document for educational purposes, not for profit, and without regard to copyright. However, all uses to be made of these recommendations should direct readers to the link www.icmje.org, where readers can check for the latest version of the guidelines. JIAP, therefore, promotes and replicates this link, as updates are periodically made to improve the performance of scientific publications linked to the ICMJE.

2. THE AUTHOR AND THE CONTRIBUTORS

2.1. Authorship
JIAP understands that categorizing the parts that composed a study or research is of paramount importance and, therefore, describes what naming each person credited in the article implies. Authorship warrants credit and has important academic, social, and financial implications. Authorship also implies responsibility and accountability for the article that has been published. The following definitions are intended to ensure that contributors who have made substantive intellectual contributions to an article are credited as authors and that contributors credited as authors understand their role in taking responsibility and accountability for what is published.

As the authorship does not detail which contributions qualified an individual to be nominated as an author, JIAP requests information about the contributions of each author to prove the participation of each author who contributed to the article. Editors are encouraged to develop and implement contribution policies, which ensure the optimization of processes, removing some of the ambiguity around authorship, but leave unresolved the question of the quantity and quality of the contribution that qualifies an individual as an author. The ICMJE has developed authorship criteria, described below, which are adopted by JIAP and Dental Press Publishing.
2.2. The author

JIAP follows the ICMJE parameters, which recommend that the authorship of the article be based on four main criteria:

1. Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the study; or acquiring, analyzing, or interpreting data for an article.
2. Elaborate on the article or critically review it.
3. Final approval of the version to be published.
4. Agreeing to be responsible for all aspects of the article, ensuring that issues relating to the accuracy or completeness of any part of the article are properly investigated and resolved.

Thus, in addition to being responsible for the parts of the study performed, the author must be able to identify which co-authors are responsible for each of the specific parts of the study. To have a naming standard, all those designated as authors must meet the four aforementioned authorship criteria, and all those who meet these criteria must be identified as authors.

These criteria are intended to preserve authorship status for those who truly deserve the credit and can take responsibility for the article — with all the bonuses and burdens of that status. It is not the purpose of these guidelines to disqualify professionals or create friction in research and study groups. On the contrary, they serve as a basis for promoting a healthy publication space, prioritizing the merits of each of those involved in the final version of the article.

Individuals conducting the study are responsible for identifying who meets the criteria and should do so when planning to conduct the study, modifying as appropriate as the study progresses. Following the guidelines of the ICMJE, JIAP encourages collaboration and co-authorship with colleagues where research is conducted. It is the collective responsibility of the authors — and not the journal to which the paper is submitted — to determine all the people named as authors and whether each one meets the established criteria.

Thus, it is not the role of JIAP editors to determine who qualifies as the author of the study or not or to arbitrate authorship conflicts. If agreement on authorship qualifications cannot be reached, the institution(s) where the work was carried out, not the journal editor, should be contacted to investigate the case. The criteria used to determine the order in which authors are listed on the title page of the article may vary and should be decided collectively by the group of authors rather than by the journal's editorial board.

If the authors request the removal or addition of an author after submission or publication of the initial article, the editors of JIAP will seek an explanation and a statement of agreement to the requested change, signed by all listed authors and the author to be removed or added.
The corresponding author is the one who assumes primary responsibility for communication with the journal during the article submission, peer review, and publication process. The corresponding author typically ensures that all journal administrative requirements — such as providing details of authorship, ethics committee approval, clinical trial registration documentation, and disclosure of relationships and activities — are properly met and reported. However, these functions may be delegated to one or more co-authors.

The corresponding author must be available throughout the peer review and submission process to answer editorial questions in a timely manner and must be available after publication to respond to criticisms of the article and cooperate with any journal requests regarding additional data or information, should questions arise about the article after publication. Although the corresponding author is primarily responsible for correspondence with the journal, JIAP, following the recommendations of the ICMJE, asks its editors to send copies of all correspondence to all listed authors.

When a large group of several authors conducts the study, it is suggested that the group decide who will be the primary author before the study begins and confirm whom that author is before submitting the article for publication. All group members named as authors must meet all four authorship criteria, including approval of the final article; they must be able to take public responsibility for the article and must have complete confidence in the accuracy and integrity of the work of other authors in the group. They shall also, as individuals, complete forms to disclose relationships and activities.

2.3. Contribution to the Study

Contributors who do not meet the four criteria mentioned in topic 2.2 must not be listed as authors but must be acknowledged. Examples of activities that, by themselves, do not qualify a contributor for authorship are, for example: obtaining funding; general supervision of a research group or general administrative support; assistance in writing, technical editing, language editing, and proofreading. Those whose contributions do not justify authorship may be recognized individually or together, as a group (under a title such as "clinical researchers" or "participating researchers", for example), and their contributions should be specified: "contributed as a scientific consultant", "critically reviewed the study proposal" and "analyzed the collected data" are examples of attributions that can be done.

As such acknowledgment may imply endorsement by these recognized individuals of the data and conclusions of a study, editors are advised to require the corresponding author to obtain written permission from all recognized individuals and contributors to the study.

3. DISCLOSURE OF ACTIVITIES AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Public trust in the scientific process and the credibility of published articles depend, in part, on how transparent an author's relationships and activities are directly or indirectly related to the work. This should be considered when planning, implementing, writing, peer-reviewing, editing, and publishing any scientific article in JIAP.
A conflict of interest or study bias exists when professional judgment about a primary interest may be influenced by a secondary interest (such as financial gain). According to the ICMJE, individuals may disagree on whether an author's relationships or activities represent conflicts. While the presence of a relationship or activity does not always indicate a problematic influence on the content of an article, perceptions of conflict can erode trust in science just as much as actual conflicts of interest.

Ultimately, readers must be able to judge whether an author's relationships and activities are pertinent to the content of an article. These judgments require transparent disclosure through a conflict of interest statement. Full disclosure of each author's commitments and conflicts demonstrates a commitment to transparency and helps maintain confidence in the scientific process.

Financial relationships (such as jobs, consultancies, stock ownership, fees, patents, and paid expert testimony) are the most easily identifiable. These are the most frequent and considered potential conflicts of interest and, therefore, the most likely to undermine the credibility of the journal, authors, and science.

Other interests can also represent conflicts, such as personal relationships or rivalries, academic competition, and intellectual beliefs. Authors should avoid entering into agreements with study sponsors, for-profit or non-profit, that interfere with investigators' access to all study data.

Policies that dictate where authors can publish their work violate this principle of academic freedom. Authors may be asked to provide the journal, in confidence, with these agreements. Purposely omitting these relationships or activities when submitting documentation pertaining to conflicts of interest to the journal is considered a form of misconduct.

3.1. Participants

All participants in the peer review and publication process — not just the authors but also JIAP reviewers, editors, and editorial board members — must disclose their relationships and activities to develop and fulfill their roles in reviewing and publishing articles.

3.1.1. Authors

When authors submit an article of any type or format, they are responsible for disclosing all relationships and activities that may influence or appear to distort their participation. JIAP uses a Conflicts of Interest Statement, developed by the ICMJE, to facilitate and standardize authors' conflict of interest disclosures. Emphasizing this JIAP criterion, based on the ICMJE guidelines, the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology asks authors to use the following document:

https://www.perioiap.org/media/Conflict%20of%20Interest%20Statement.pdf
3.1.2. Peer review

Reviewers are asked — when they are invited to review and comment on an article — if they have relationships or activities that could compromise their review. Reviewers should disclose to the editors any relationships or activities that might distort their opinions about a study and should refuse to review specific articles if there is a potential for distortion or conflict of interest. Reviewers are also instructed not to use their knowledge of the article they are reviewing before publication to promote their benefits.

3.1.3. Journal editors and editorial board

JIAP and Dental Press advise editors — who make the final decisions about articles — to refrain from editorial decisions in which they have relationships or activities that pose potential conflicts related to the article being reviewed. Other members of the editorial board who participate in editorial decisions must provide editors with an up-to-date description of their relationships and activities so that they do not take part in decisions where there is an interest that poses a potential conflict.

The editorial board must not use the information obtained during the evaluation of the articles for private gain. Editors should regularly publish their conflict of interest statements and those of their editorial board. Guest editors must follow the same procedures. The Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology takes extra precautions and has a stated policy for evaluating articles submitted by individuals involved in the editorial decisions.

3.2. Relationships and activities

Articles in the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology must be published with declarations of conflicts of interest, such as the aforementioned ICMJE Disclosure Form, stating:

- Authors' relationships and activities.
- Sources of financial support for the study, including the name of the sponsor, along with explanations of the role of these sources in data collection, analysis, and interpretation; any restrictions or influences regarding the submission of the final article for publication; or a statement that the funding source had no involvement or limitations on publication.
- Whether the authors had access to study data, with an explanation of the nature and extent of access, including whether the access is continuous.

In support of this statement, JIAP editors may request that authors of a study sponsored by a funder with a proprietary or financial interest in the outcome sign a statement such as: "I had full access to all data in this study, and I take full responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis."

4. RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUBMISSION AND PEER REVIEW

4.1. Authors
Authors must follow all principles of authorship and declaration of conflicts of interest detailed throughout this document of conduct and publication guidelines.

4.1.1. Predatory journals or pseudo-journals: a growing number of entities are advertising themselves as "academic journals of Dentistry" but do not follow the quality standards endorsed by the international scientific community.

These journals (predatory or pseudo-journals) accept and publish almost all submissions and charge processing or publication fees, usually informing authors of this after the article is accepted. They often claim to peer review but do not and may purposely use names similar to those of well-established journals. Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology follows all ICMJE guidelines and refrains from this conduct.

Thus, the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology editorial board recommends that authors who will submit their articles to the journal avoid citing articles (or, effectively, do not) that have been published in predatory journals or pseudo-journals, as there is no certainty if every liturgy of the scientific method was followed —thus ensuring good scientific standards and therefore reliable data for a serious study, whose focus is on procedures that safeguard the health of patients.

4.2. Journals

4.2.1. Confidentiality: Articles submitted to the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology are privileged communications, which are the private and confidential property of the authors. Thus, researchers may be harmed by premature disclosure of any study details.

Journal editors, therefore, do not share information about the articles — including whether they were received or are under review, their content and status in the review process, reviewers' analysis, and the final editorial decision — to anyone who is not strictly related to the editing process, the authors, and reviewers. All requests from third parties to use the original data or review legal advice (animal or human research ethics committees) will be refused. The editors will do their utmost not to provide confidential material, even in cases of a legal demand.

Editors should also clarify that reviewers will keep articles and their information confidential. Reviewers and editorial board members should not publicly discuss the article's content, and reviewers should not appropriate the authors' ideas before publishing the article. Reviewers must not retain the article for personal use, and after submitting reviews, they must destroy the paper copies of the originals and delete the electronic copies.

Editors must not publish or share reviewers' comments without the permission of the reviewer and author. The journal's policy is to hide the identity of reviewers whose comments are not signed; therefore, this identity must not be
revealed to the author or anyone else without the express written permission of the reviewers.

JIAP reserves the right to breach confidentiality in the event of an allegation of dishonesty or fraud. Editors, however, will notify authors or reviewers if they intend to do so. Otherwise, confidentiality will always be respected.

4.2.2. Opportunity: Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology editors will do everything possible to ensure the timely processing of articles, given available resources. If editors intend to publish an article, they will try to do so in a timely manner, and any planned delays will be discussed with the authors. If the journal has no intention of proceeding with the publication, editors will endeavor to reject the article as soon as possible to allow authors to submit it to a different journal.

4.2.3. Peer review: peer review is the critical assessment of articles submitted by specialists who are generally not on the editorial board. Peer review is an important extension of the scientific process—including scientific research—as part of an independent, impartial, and critical assessment; this is an intrinsic step in all academic work.

The real value of peer review is widely debated, but the process facilitates a fair hearing for an article among members of the scientific community. More practically, this helps editors decide which articles are suitable for each journal. Peer review often helps authors and editors to improve the overall quality of scientific publications.

The Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology is responsible for ensuring that a blinded review system is in place to allow for the selection of appropriate reviewers. It is the editor's responsibility to ensure that reviewers have access to all material that may be relevant to the review of the article and to ensure that reviewer comments are appropriately evaluated and interpreted in the context of their stated relationships and activities.

The Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology editor is ultimately responsible for selecting all content, and editorial decisions can be made based on factors unrelated to the quality of an article. The editor may only accept an article at any time before publication, including after acceptance, if doubts arise about the integrity of the study.

To respect collaborators, the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology notifies reviewers of the final decision to accept or reject an article, acknowledging the reviewers' contribution to the journal. Editors are encouraged to share each reviewer's comments with the other reviewers of the same article so that everyone can be aware of each of the notes in the review process.

As part of the peer review — following the guidelines of the ICMJE — editors of
this journal are encouraged to review research protocols and statistical analysis methods.

JIAP and Dental Press Publishing, like the ICMJE, believe that researchers must maintain, for at least 10 years, the primary data and analytical procedures that support the published results. The ICMJE encourages the preservation of this data in a data repository to ensure its long-term availability.

4.2.4. Integrity: Editorial decisions should be based on the relevance of an article to the journal and the originality, quality, and contribution of the study to evidence on important issues. These decisions are not influenced by commercial interests, personal relationships or political interests, or findings that contradict what is already in the accepted literature.

Editors should not consider publication studies with inconclusive or non-statistically significant results. Even if unpublished, these studies may, in the future, help provide evidence that, combined with that from other articles — through meta-analysis— would help answer important questions.

4.2.5. Diversity and inclusion: To enhance academic culture, Dental Press editors seek to engage a broad and diverse range of authors, reviewers, editorial board members, and readers.

4.3. The reviewers

Articles submitted to journals are privileged communications, which are the private and confidential property of the authors, and, therefore, authors may be harmed by premature disclosure of any article details. Thus, the JIAP reviewers must keep the articles and information confidential.

Reviewers should not publicly discuss the evaluated articles or take ownership of the authors' ideas before publishing the original article. Reviewers should not keep the study for personal use, and only the JIAP will retain copies of articles upon receipt of the reviews.

JIAP reviewers who seek the help of an intern or colleague in performing a review will acknowledge the contributions of those individuals in written comments to the editor. These individuals must maintain the confidentiality of the article, as indicated in this document.

The reviewers of this journal declare their relationships and activities that could distort their evaluation of an article and refuse to participate in the peer review process if there is conflict, as per the guidelines that this document generally stipulates.

5. PARTICIPANT PROTECTION

5.1. Human and animal research

All researchers must ensure that the conduction of research in humans is by the
Declaration of Helsinki and by the 2013 review of the document. All authors must seek approval to conduct research from an independent local, regional, or national review committee — such as a Regional Board of Dentistry or an ethics committee.

If there is any doubt as to whether the research was conducted in accordance with the statement, the authors should explain the reason for their approach and demonstrate that the review committee has explicitly approved aspects that may be questionable about the study. Approval by a responsible review committee does not preclude Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology editors from making their judgment as to whether the conduct of the research was appropriate.

When reporting animal experiments, authors should indicate whether institutional and national standards for the care and use of laboratory animals have been followed. Additional guidelines adopted by JIAP and Dental Press Publishing on ethics in animal research are available in the Guidelines for Authors, Consensus on Ethics and Animal Welfare, of the International Association of Veterinary Publishers, at the following link: https://veteditors.org/consensus-author-guidelines-on-animal-ethics-and-welfare-for-e-editors

5.2. Patient Privacy

All patients have a right to privacy, which must not be violated without informed and recorded consent. Identifying information, including names, initials, or numbers of hospitals, clinics, or offices, should not be published in written descriptions or photographs unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or guardians) gives written consent.

Informed consent for this purpose requires that an identifiable patient be shown the article before publication. Authors should disclose to these patients whether any potentially identifiable material may be available online and in print after publication.

The patient's written consent must be filed with the journal, the authors, or both, as dictated by the regulations in United States laws. JIAP explicitly states that the legislation adopted by the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology follows the parameters and constitutional rules in force in the USA.

Mandatory informed consent is included in the Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology instructions for authors and, therefore, when informed consent has been obtained, it must be indicated in the published article.

This policy will be updated by the JIAP as needed.